

СПИСОК ВИКОРИСТАНИХ ДЖЕРЕЛ

1. Babudzhan R., Isaienkov K., Krasii D., Vodka O., Zadorozhny I., Yushchuk M. Collection and processing of bearing vibration data for their technical condition classification by machine learning methods. *Bulletin of the National Technical University «KhPI». Series: Modeling, Control and Information Technologies*. 2021. No. 5. P. 10–15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31713/MCIT.2021.02>.
2. Бабуджан Р., Ісаєнков К., Красій Д., Водка О., Задорожний І., Ющук М. Використання методів машинного навчання для бінарної класифікації робочого стану підшипників за сигналами їх віброприскорення. *Вісник Національного технічного університету «ХПІ». Серія: Системний аналіз, управління та інформаційні технології*. 2021. № 2 (6). С. 15–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2079-0023.2021.02.03>.
3. Бабуджан Р. А., Ісаєнков К. О., Водка О. О., Красій Д. М., Задорожний І., Ющук М. Класифікація робочого стану підшипників кочення за допомогою згорткової нейронної мережі зі змінними факторами дилатації. *Вісник НТУ «ХПІ». Серія: Динаміка і міцність машин*. 2021. № 2. С. 104–109. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2078-9130.2021.2.249274>.
4. Krasii D., Larin O., Gorovyi I. Analysis of Optical Coherence Tomography Images of Human Arteriosclerotic Vessels Using Computer Vision and Deep Learning Algorithms. *Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering — 2024 / O. Lytvynov, V. Pavlikov, D. Krytskyi (eds.)*. Cham : Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025. P. 107–117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-94845-9_9.
5. Krasii D., Larin O. Computational modelling of wind turbine lifetime. 2021 IEEE 2nd KhPI Week on Advanced Technology (KhPIWeek). Kharkiv, 13–17 September 2021. IEEE, 2021. P. 374–379. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/KhPIWeek53812.2021.9570028>.

6. Krasii D., Larin O. Application of Markov Processes Theory for Computational Prediction of Turbine Blade Reliability. *Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering* — 2022. Cham : Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023. P. 335–345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36201-9_29.
7. Krasii D., Larin O. ML-surrogate modeling for the estimation of random system performance parameter progress by the Chained Gaussian Process Regression method. 2023 IEEE 4th KhPI Week on Advanced Technology (KhPIWeek). Kharkiv, 2–6 October 2023. IEEE, 2023. P. 1–5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/KhPIWeek61412.2023.10312806>.
8. Красій Д. М., Ларін О. О. Фізично-керована регресія на основі гаусівських процесів для моделювання накопичення втомного пошкодження. *Вісник НТУ «ХПІ». Серія: Динаміка і міцність машин.* 2025. № 2. С. 64–72. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2078-9130.2025.2.345722>.
9. Krasii D. M., Larin O. O. Physics-guided chained Gaussian process regression for atherosclerotic plaque progression prediction. *Scientific Bulletin of Uzhhorod University. Series of Mathematics and Informatics.* 2026. Vol 48, № 1. P. 153–161. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.24144/2616-7700.2026.48\(1\).153-161](https://doi.org/10.24144/2616-7700.2026.48(1).153-161).
10. Kesecioglu D. B. *Reliability Engineering Handbook.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ : Prentice Hall, 1991. Vol. 1. 688 p.
11. Жовдак В. А., Тарасова Л. Ф. Прогнозування надійності механічних систем : навч. посіб. Харків : НТУ «ХПІ», 2007. 108 с.
12. Жовдак В. А. Прогнозування ресурсу, міцності та надійності елементів машинобудівних конструкцій : навч. посіб. Київ : УМК ВО, 1989. 96 с.
13. Stewart M. G., O'Connor A. Probabilistic risk assessment and service life performance management of load bearing biomedical implants. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety.* 2012. Vol. 108. P. 49–55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2012.06.012>.

14. Lin Y. K., Cai G. Q. Probabilistic Structural Dynamics: Advanced Theory and Applications. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1995. 496 p.
15. Ларін О. О., Водка О. О., Потопальська К. Є. Комп'ютерне та математичне моделювання в задачах прогнозування надійності при поступових відмовах : монографія. Харків : Видавництво НТУ «ХПІ», 2020. 232 с.
16. Engineering Design Reliability Applications: For the Aerospace, Automotive and Ship Industries / E. Nikolaidis, D. M. Ghiocel, S. Singhal (eds.). Boca Raton : CRC Press, 2007. 416 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420051339>.
17. Hasofer A. M., Lind N. C. Exact and Invariant Second-Moment Code Format. Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division. 1974. Vol. 100, No. 1. P. 111–121. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1061/JMCEA3.0001848>.
18. Hu Z., Mansour R., Olsson M., Du X. Second-order reliability methods: a review and comparative study. Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization. 2021. Vol. 64, No. 6. P. 3233–3263. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-021-03013-y>.
19. Pham H. Recent Studies in Software Reliability Engineering. Handbook of Reliability Engineering / H. Pham (ed.). London : Springer-Verlag, 2003. P. 285–302. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/1-85233-841-5_16.
20. Dubourg V., Sudret B., Deheeger F. Metamodel-based importance sampling for structural reliability analysis. Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics. 2013. Vol. 33. P. 47–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.probengmech.2013.02.002>.
21. Yu W., Huang W., Wen K., Zhang J., Liu H., Wang K., Gong J., Qu C. Subset simulation-based reliability analysis of the corroding natural gas pipeline. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2021. Vol. 213. Article 107661. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.107661>.
22. Crandall S. H., Mark W. D. Random Vibration in Mechanical Systems. New York ; London : Academic Press, 1963. 166 p.

23. Cramér H., Leadbetter M. R. Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes: Sample Function Properties and Their Applications. New York : John Wiley & Sons, 1967. 348 p.
24. Andrieu-Renaud C., Sudret B., Lemaire M. The PHI2 method: a way to compute time-variant reliability. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2004. Vol. 84, No. 1. P. 75–86. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2003.10.005>.
25. Zhang B., Wang W., Wang Y., Li Y., Gong J. A critical review on methods for time-dependent structural reliability. Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure. 2024. Vol. 9, No. 2. P. 91–106. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23789689.2023.2206297>.
26. Kirkwood J. R. Markov Processes. 1st ed. Boca Raton : CRC Press, 2015. 340 p.
27. Жовдак В. А., Тарасова Л. Ф. Прогнозування залишкового ресурсу елементів конструкцій із використанням двовимірних марковських процесів. Вісник НТУ «ХПІ». Серія: Динаміка та міцність машин. 2011. № 63. С. 36–45.
28. Gaspar B., Teixeira A. P., Soares C. G. Assessment of the efficiency of Kriging surrogate models for structural reliability analysis. Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics. 2014. Vol. 37. P. 24–34. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.probengmech.2014.03.011>.
29. Yang X., Liu Y., Mi C., Wang X. System reliability analysis through active learning Kriging model with truncated candidate region. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2018. Vol. 169. P. 235–241. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2017.08.016>.
30. Osman A. H., Salman A. A., Fawzy K. M. Vibration Signature of Roller Bearing's Faults. European Scientific Journal ESJ. 2019. Vol. 15, No. 12. P. 425–445. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2019.v15n12p425>.
31. Graney B. P., Starry K. Rolling Element Bearing Analysis. Materials Evaluation. 2012. Vol. 70, No. 1. P. 78–85.

32. Smith W. A., Randall R. B. Rolling element bearing diagnostics using the Case Western Reserve University data: A benchmark study. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 2015. Vol. 64–65. P. 100–131. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2015.04.021>.
33. Hendriks J., Dumond P., Knox D. A. Towards better benchmarking using the CWRU bearing fault dataset. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 2022. Vol. 169. Article 108732. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2021.108732>.
34. Lei Y., Lin J., He Z., Zuo M. J. A review on empirical mode decomposition in fault diagnosis of rotating machinery. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 2013. Vol. 35, No. 1–2. P. 108–126. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2012.09.015>.
35. Sun W., Yang G., Chen Q., Palazoglu A., Feng K. Fault diagnosis of rolling bearing based on wavelet transform and envelope spectrum correlation. *Journal of Vibration and Control*. 2013. Vol. 19, No. 6. P. 924–941. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077546311435348>.
36. Han T., Chao Z. Fault diagnosis of rolling bearing with uneven data distribution based on continuous wavelet transform and deep convolution generated adversarial network. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*. 2021. Vol. 43. Article 425. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40430-021-03152-9>.
37. Soto-Ocampo C. R., Cano-Moreno J. D., Mera J. M., Maroto J. Bearing Severity Fault Evaluation Using Contour Maps — Case Study. *Applied Sciences*. 2021. Vol. 11, No. 14. Article 6452. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11146452>.
38. Kumar D., Mehran S., Shaikh M. Z., Hussain M., Chowdhry B. S., Hussain T. Triaxial bearing vibration dataset of induction motor under varying load conditions. *Data in Brief*. 2022. Vol. 42. Article 108315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2022.108315>.

39. Cococcioni M., Forte P., Manconi S. A Machine Learning Approach to Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearings. 2008 IEEE International Conference on Computational Cybernetics. Stará Lesná, 27–29 November 2008. IEEE, 2008. P. 209–214. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCYB.2008.4721407>.
40. Abdelkrim C., Meridjet M. S., Boutassetta N., Boulanouar L. Detection and classification of bearing faults in industrial geared motors using temporal features and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. *Heliyon*. 2019. Vol. 5, No. 8. Article e02046. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02046>.
41. Roy S. S., Dey S., Chatterjee S. Autocorrelation Aided Random Forest Classifier-Based Bearing Fault Detection Framework. *IEEE Sensors Journal*. 2020. Vol. 20, No. 18. P. 10792–10800. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2020.2995109>.
42. Samanta B., Al-Balushi K. R. Artificial neural network based fault diagnostics of rolling element bearings using time-domain features. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 2003. Vol. 17, No. 2. P. 317–328. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/mssp.2001.1462>.
43. Zhang X., Hu N., Hu L., Chen L., Cheng Z. A bearing fault diagnosis method based on the low-dimensional compressed vibration signal. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*. 2015. Vol. 7, No. 7. P. 1–12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814015593442>.
44. Magar R., Ghule L., Li J., Zhao Y., Farimani A. B. FaultNet: A Deep Convolutional Neural Network for Bearing Fault Classification. *IEEE Access*. 2021. Vol. 9. P. 25189–25199. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3056944>.
45. Shi X., Cheng Y., Zhang B., Zhang H. Intelligent fault diagnosis of bearings based on feature model and AlexNet neural network. 2020 IEEE International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management (ICPHM). Detroit, MI, 8–10 June 2020. IEEE, 2020. P. 1–6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPHM49022.2020.9187051>.
46. Zhong D., Guo W., He D. An Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Method based on STFT and Convolutional Neural Network for Bearings Under Variable Working

Conditions. 2019 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference (PHM-Qingdao). Qingdao, 25–27 October 2019. IEEE, 2019. P. 1–6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/PHM-Qingdao46334.2019.8943026>.

47. Guo X., Chen L., Shen C. Hierarchical adaptive deep convolution neural network and its application to bearing fault diagnosis. *Measurement*. 2016. Vol. 93. P. 490–502. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2016.07.054>.

48. van den Oord A., Dieleman S., Zen H., Simonyan K., Vinyals O., Graves A., Kalchbrenner N., Senior A., Kavukcuoglu K. WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio. arXiv:1609.03499 [cs.SD]. 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03499>.

49. Pandhare V., Singh J., Lee J. Convolutional Neural Network Based Rolling-Element Bearing Fault Diagnosis for Naturally Occurring and Progressing Defects Using Time-Frequency Domain Features. 2019 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference (PHM-Paris). Paris, 2–5 May 2019. IEEE, 2019. P. 320–326. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/PHM-Paris.2019.00061>.

50. Wang D., Guo Q., Song Y., Gao S., Li Y. Application of Multiscale Learning Neural Network Based on CNN in Bearing Fault Diagnosis. *Journal of Signal Processing Systems*. 2019. Vol. 91, No. 10. P. 1205–1217. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11265-019-01461-w>.

51. Pan H., He X., Tang S., Meng F. An improved bearing fault diagnosis method using one-dimensional CNN and LSTM. *Strojniški vestnik — Journal of Mechanical Engineering*. 2018. Vol. 64, No. 7–8. P. 443–452. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5545/sv-jme.2017.5249>.

52. Lu C., Wang Z. Y., Qin W. L., Ma J. Fault diagnosis of rotary machinery components using a stacked denoising autoencoder-based health state identification. *Signal Processing*. 2017. Vol. 130. P. 377–388. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sigpro.2016.07.028>.

53. Xu F., Tse P. W., Tse Y. L. Roller bearing fault diagnosis using stacked denoising autoencoder in deep learning and Gath–Geva clustering algorithm without

principal component analysis and data label. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2018. Vol. 73. P. 898–913. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.09.037>.

54. Nguyen P., Kang M., Kim J.-M., Ahn B.-H., Ha J.-M., Choi B.-K. Robust condition monitoring of rolling element bearings using de-noising and envelope analysis with signal decomposition techniques. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2015. Vol. 42, No. 22. P. 9024–9032. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.07.064>.

55. Nishizaki H., Makino K. Signal Classification Using Deep Learning. 2019 IEEE International Conference on Sensors and Nanotechnology. Penang, 24–25 July 2019. IEEE, 2019. P. 1–4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/SENSORSNANO44414.2019.8940077>.

56. Zhang S., Zhang S., Wang B., Habetler T. G. Deep Learning Algorithms for Bearing Fault Diagnostics — A Comprehensive Review. *IEEE Access*. 2020. Vol. 8. P. 29857–29881. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2972859>.

57. Fishman G. S. Monte Carlo: Concepts, Algorithms, and Applications. New York : Springer, 1996. 698 p. (Springer Series in Operations Research). [Рецензія: Wasserstein R. L. *Technometrics*. 1997. Vol. 39, No. 3. P. 338. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1271146>].

58. Zio E. Prognostics and Health Management (PHM): Where are we and where do we (need to) go in theory and practice. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 2022. Vol. 218. Article 108119. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.108119>.

59. Tapeh A. T. G., Naser M. Z. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Structural Engineering: A Scientometrics Review of Trends and Best Practices. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*. 2023. Vol. 30, No. 1. P. 115–159. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-022-09793-w>.

60. Abiodun O. I., Jantan A., Omolara A. E., Dada K. V., Mohamed N. A., Arshad H. State-of-the-art in artificial neural network applications: A survey. *Heliyon*.

2018. Vol. 4, No. 11. Article e00938. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00938>.

61. Wang J., Wen G., Yang S., Liu Y. Remaining Useful Life Estimation in Prognostics Using Deep Bidirectional LSTM Neural Network. 2018 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference (PHM-Chongqing). Chongqing, 26–28 October 2018. IEEE, 2018. P. 1037–1042. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/PHM-Chongqing.2018.00184>.

62. Fink O., Zio E., Weidmann U. Predicting component reliability and level of degradation with complex-valued neural networks. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 2014. Vol. 121. P. 198–206. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2013.08.004>.

63. Rasmussen C. E., Williams C. K. I. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. Cambridge, MA : The MIT Press, 2006. 272 p. (Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/3206.001.0001>. University of Edinburgh Research Explorer

64. Wei P., Liu F., Tang C. Reliability and reliability-based importance analysis of structural systems using multiple response Gaussian process model. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 2018. Vol. 175. P. 183–195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2018.03.013>.

65. Goldberg P. W., Williams C. K. I., Bishop C. M. Regression with Input-Dependent Noise: A Gaussian Process Treatment. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 10 (NIPS 1997)*. Cambridge, MA : MIT Press, 1998. P. 493–499.

66. Kersting K., Plagemann C., Pfaff P., Burgard W. Most likely heteroscedastic Gaussian process regression. *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML '07)*. Corvallis, OR, 20–24 June 2007. ACM, 2007. P. 393–400. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1273496.1273546>.

67. Saul A. D., Hensman J., Vehtari A., Lawrence N. D. Chained Gaussian Processes. *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*

and Statistics (AISTATS 2016). Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. 2016. Vol. 51. P. 1431–1440. arXiv:1604.05263. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05263>.

68. Swiler L. P., Gulian M., Frankel A. L., Safta C., Jakeman J. D. A survey of constrained Gaussian process regression: approaches and implementation challenges. *Journal of Machine Learning for Modeling and Computing*. 2020. Vol. 1, No. 2. P. 119–156. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1615/JMachLearnModelComput.2020035155>.

69. Riihimäki J., Vehtari A. Gaussian processes with monotonicity information. Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS 2010) / Y. W. Teh, M. Titterton (eds.). Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. 2010. Vol. 9. P. 645–652. URL: <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v9/riihimaki10a.html>.

70. Agrell C. Gaussian processes with linear operator inequality constraints. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 2019. Vol. 20, No. 135. P. 1–36. arXiv:1901.03134. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03134>.

71. Raissi M., Perdikaris P., Karniadakis G. E. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2019. Vol. 378. P. 686–707. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.10.045>.

72. Karniadakis G. E., Kevrekidis I. G., Lu L., Perdikaris P., Wang S., Yang L. Physics-informed machine learning. *Nature Reviews Physics*. 2021. Vol. 3, No. 6. P. 422–440. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00314-5>.

73. Kim D., Kim D. Y., Ko T., Lee D., Lee Y.-B. Physics-informed Gaussian process regression model for predicting the fatigue life of welded joints. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 2025. Vol. 190. Article 108644. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2024.108644>.

74. Chang C., Zeng T. A Hybrid Data Driven-Physics Constrained Gaussian Process Regression Framework with Deep Kernel for Uncertainty Quantification. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2022. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4154355>.
75. Zhu Z., Du X. Reliability Analysis With Monte Carlo Simulation and Dependent Kriging Predictions. Journal of Mechanical Design. 2016. Vol. 138, No. 12. Article 121403. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4034219>.
76. Bichon B. J., McFarland J. M., Mahadevan S. Efficient surrogate models for reliability analysis of systems with multiple failure modes. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2011. Vol. 96, No. 10. P. 1386–1395. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2011.05.008>.
77. Echard B., Gayton N., Lemaire M. AK-MCS: An active learning reliability method combining Kriging and Monte Carlo Simulation. Structural Safety. 2011. Vol. 33, No. 2. P. 145–154. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strusafe.2011.01.002>.
78. Echard B., Gayton N., Lemaire M., Relun N. A combined Importance Sampling and Kriging reliability method for small failure probabilities with time-demanding numerical models. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2013. Vol. 111. P. 232–240. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2012.10.008>.
79. Huang X., Chen J., Zhu H. Assessing small failure probabilities by AK-SS: An active learning method combining Kriging and Subset Simulation. Structural Safety. 2016. Vol. 59. P. 86–95. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strusafe.2015.12.003>.
80. Roy A., Chakraborty S. Support vector machine in structural reliability analysis: A review. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2023. Vol. 233. Article 109126. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2023.109126>.
81. Saraygord Afshari S., Enayatollahi F., Xu X., Liang X. Machine learning-based methods in structural reliability analysis: A review. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2022. Vol. 219. Article 108223. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.108223>.

82. Xu Z., Saleh J. H. Machine learning for reliability engineering and safety applications: Review of current status and future opportunities. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 2021. Vol. 211. Article 107530. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.107530>.
83. Fink O., Sharma V., Nejjar I., Von Krannichfeldt L., Garmaev S., Zhang Z., Wei A., Frusque G., Forest F., Zhao M., Hsu C.-C., Faghieh Niresi K., Sun H., Dong H., Xu C., Theiler R., Bizzi A., Steiner K. et al. From physics to machine learning and back: Part I — Learning with inductive biases in prognostics and health management (PHM). *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 2026. Vol. 271. Article 112213. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2026.112213>.
84. Lemaitre J., Desmorat R. *Engineering Damage Mechanics: Ductile, Creep, Fatigue and Brittle Failures*. Berlin ; Heidelberg : Springer-Verlag, 2005. 380 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/b138882>.
85. Miner M. A. Cumulative Damage in Fatigue. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*. 1945. Vol. 12, No. 3. P. A159–A164. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4009458>.
86. Quigley J. P., Lee Y.-L., Wang L. Review and Assessment of Frequency-Based Fatigue Damage Models. *SAE International Journal of Materials and Manufacturing*. 2016. Vol. 9, No. 3. P. 565–577. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4271/2016-01-0369>.
87. Schijve J. *Fatigue of Structures and Materials*. 2nd ed. Dordrecht : Springer Netherlands, 2009. 622 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6808-9>.
88. Manson S. S., Halford G. R. *Fatigue and Durability of Structural Materials*. Materials Park, OH : ASM International, 2006. 456 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31399/asm.tb.fds.9781627083447>.
89. Zhu S.-P., Huang H.-Z., Liu Y., He L.-P., Liao Q. A Practical Method for Determining the Corten-Dolan Exponent and Its Application to Fatigue Life Prediction.

International Journal of Turbo & Jet Engines. 2012. Vol. 29, No. 2. P. 79–87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/tjj-2012-0013>.

90. Zhu S.-P., Hao Y.-Z., de Oliveira Correia J. A. F., Lesiuk G., de Jesus A. M. P. Nonlinear fatigue damage accumulation and life prediction of metals: A comparative study. *Fatigue & Fracture of Engineering Materials & Structures*. 2019. Vol. 42, No. 6. P. 1271–1282. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ffe.12937>.

91. Aeran A., Siriwardane S. C., Mikkelsen O., Langen I. A new nonlinear fatigue damage model based only on S-N curve parameters. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 2017. Vol. 103. P. 327–341. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2017.06.017>.

92. Chen H., Yang F., Wu Z., Yao C., Han Y. A nonlinear fatigue damage accumulation model under variable amplitude loading considering the loading sequence effect. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 2023. Vol. 177. Article 107945. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2023.107945>.

93. Chaboche J. L., Lesne P. M. A non-linear continuous fatigue damage model. *Fatigue & Fracture of Engineering Materials & Structures*. 1988. Vol. 11, No. 1. P. 1–17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2695.1988.tb01216>.

94. Yang S., Hu W., Meng Q., Zhao B. A new continuum damage mechanics–based two-scale model for high-cycle fatigue life prediction considering the two-segment characteristic in S-N curves. *Fatigue & Fracture of Engineering Materials & Structures*. 2020. Vol. 43, No. 2. P. 387–402. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ffe.13161>.

95. Жовдак В. А., Міщенко І. В. Прогнозування надійності елементів конструкції з урахуванням технологічних та експлуатаційних факторів : монографія. Харків : ХДПУ, 1999. 120 с.

96. Dirlik T., Benasciutti D. Dirlik and Tovo-Benasciutti Spectral Methods in Vibration Fatigue: A Review with a Historical Perspective. *Metals*. 2021. Vol. 11, No. 9. Article 1333. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/met11091333>.

97. Benasciutti D., Tovo R. Spectral methods for lifetime prediction under wide-band stationary random processes. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 2005. Vol. 27, No. 8. P. 867–877. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2004.10.007>.
98. Deng Q.-Y., Zhu S.-P., He J.-C., Li X.-K., Carpinteri A. Multiaxial fatigue under variable amplitude loadings: review and solutions. *International Journal of Structural Integrity*. 2022. Vol. 13, No. 3. P. 349–393. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSI-03-2022-0025>.
99. Katinić M., Kozak D., Gelo I., Damjanović D. Corrosion fatigue failure of steam turbine moving blades: A case study. *Engineering Failure Analysis*. 2019. Vol. 106. Article 104136. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2019.08.002>.
100. Paris P., Erdogan F. A Critical Analysis of Crack Propagation Laws. *Journal of Basic Engineering*. 1963. Vol. 85, No. 4. P. 528–533. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3656900>.
101. Larin O., Vodka O. A probability approach to the estimation of the process of accumulation of the high-cycle fatigue damage considering the natural aging of a material. *International Journal of Damage Mechanics*. 2015. Vol. 24, No. 2. P. 294–310. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1056789514536067>.
102. Humphrey J. D., Rajagopal K. R. A Constrained Mixture Model for Growth and Remodeling of Soft Tissues. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*. 2002. Vol. 12, No. 3. P. 407–430. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218202502001714>.
103. Ambrosi D., Ateshian G. A., Arruda E. M., Cowin S. C., Dumais J., Goriely A., Holzapfel G. A., Humphrey J. D., Kemkemer R., Kuhl E., Olberding J. E., Taber L. A., Garikipati K. Perspectives on biological growth and remodeling. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*. 2011. Vol. 59, No. 4. P. 863–883. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2010.12.011>.
104. Glagov S., Weisenberg E., Zarins C. K., Stankunavicius R., Kolettis G. J. Compensatory Enlargement of Human Atherosclerotic Coronary Arteries. *New*

England Journal of Medicine. 1987. Vol. 316, No. 22. P. 1371–1375. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198705283162204>.

105. Lockwood H. A., Agar M. H., Fielding S. M. Power law creep and delayed failure of gels and fibrous materials under stress. *Soft Matter*. 2024. Vol. 20, No. 11. P. 2474–2479. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SM01608K>.

106. Min H.-S., Yoo J. H., Kang S.-J., Lee J.-G., Cho H., Lee P. H., Ahn J.-M., Park D.-W., Lee S.-W., Kim Y.-H., Lee C. W., Park S.-W., Park S.-J. Detection of optical coherence tomography-defined thin-cap fibroatheroma in the coronary artery using deep learning. *EuroIntervention*. 2020. Vol. 16, No. 5. P. 404–412. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4244/EIJ-D-19-00487>.

107. Suvorov R., Logacheva E., Mashikhin A., Remizova A., Ashukha A., Silvestrov A., Kong N., Goka H., Park K., Lempitsky V. Resolution-Robust Large Mask Inpainting with Fourier Convolutions. 2022 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). Waikoloa, HI, 3–8 January 2022. IEEE, 2022. P. 3172–3182. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/WACV51458.2022.00323>.

108. Kirillov A., Mintun E., Ravi N., Mao H., Rolland C., Gustafson L., Xiao T., Whitehead S., Berg A. C., Lo W.-Y., Dollár P., Girshick R. Segment Anything. 2023 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). Paris, 2–6 October 2023. IEEE, 2023. P. 3992–4003. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.00371>.

109. Cheng G. C., Loree H. M., Kamm R. D., Fishbein M. C., Lee R. T. Distribution of circumferential stress in ruptured and stable atherosclerotic lesions. A structural analysis with histopathological correlation. *Circulation*. 1993. Vol. 87, No. 4. P. 1179–1187. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.87.4.1179>.

110. Zheng A., Casari A. Feature Engineering for Machine Learning: Principles and Techniques for Data Scientists. Sebastopol, CA : O'Reilly Media, 2018. 218 p.

111. Huber P. J., Ronchetti E. M. Robust Statistics. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ : Wiley, 2009. 380 p. (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470434697>.
112. Dozza M., Bärghman J., Lee J. D. Chunking: A procedure to improve naturalistic data analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*. 2013. Vol. 58. P. 309–317. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.03.020>.
113. Randall R. B. Vibration-based Condition Monitoring: Industrial, Aerospace and Automotive Applications. Chichester : Wiley, 2011. 308 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470977668>.
114. Shannon C. E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*. 1948. Vol. 27, No. 3. P. 379–423. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x>.
115. Richman J. S., Moorman J. R. Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. *American Journal of Physiology — Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 2000. Vol. 278, No. 6. P. H2039–H2049. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.2000.278.6.H2039>.
116. Bandt C., Pompe B. Permutation entropy: a natural complexity measure for time series. *Physical Review Letters*. 2002. Vol. 88, No. 17. Article 174102. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.174102>.
117. Hjorth B. EEG analysis based on time domain properties. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*. 1970. Vol. 29, No. 3. P. 306–310. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694\(70\)90143-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(70)90143-4).
118. Hurst H. E. Long-Term Storage Capacity of Reservoirs. *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers*. 1951. Vol. 116, No. 1. P. 770–799. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1061/TACEAT.0006518>.
119. De la Torre F. C., González-Trejo J. I., Real-Ramírez C. A., Hoyos-Reyes L. F. Fractal dimension algorithms and their application to time series associated with

natural phenomena. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2013. Vol. 475. Article 012002. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/475/1/012002>.

120. Good I. J. Introduction to Cooley and Tukey (1965) An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series. *Breakthroughs in Statistics*. Vol. III. New York : Springer, 1997. P. 201–216. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0667-5_9.

121. Hamaguchi R., Fujita A., Nemoto K., Imaizumi T., Hikosaka S. Effective Use of Dilated Convolutions for Segmenting Small Object Instances in Remote Sensing Imagery. 2018 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). Lake Tahoe, NV/CA, 12–15 March 2018. IEEE, 2018. P. 1442–1450. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/WACV.2018.00162>.

122. Cheng H. K., Chung J., Tai Y.-W., Tang C.-K. CascadePSP: Toward Class-Agnostic and Very High-Resolution Segmentation via Global and Local Refinement. 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). Seattle, WA, 13–19 June 2020. IEEE, 2020. P. 8887–8896. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR42600.2020.00891>.

123. Bradski G. The OpenCV Library. *Dr. Dobb's Journal of Software Tools*. 2000. Vol. 25, No. 11. P. 120–125.

124. Chou J.-S., Tu W.-T. Failure analysis and risk management of a collapsed large wind turbine tower. *Engineering Failure Analysis*. 2011. Vol. 18, No. 1. P. 295–313. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2010.09.008>.

125. Avdieieva O., Usatyi O., Vodka O. Development of the Typical Design of the High-Pressure Stage of a Steam Turbine. *Advances in Design, Simulation and Manufacturing III*. Cham : Springer International Publishing, 2020. P. 271–281. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50491-5_26.

126. Kim J., Yoon J.-C., Kang B.-S. Finite element analysis and modeling of structure with bolted joints. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*. 2007. Vol. 31, No. 5. P. 895–911. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2006.03.020>.

127. Ezeh O. H., Susmel L. On the fatigue strength of 3D-printed polylactide (PLA). *Procedia Structural Integrity*. 2018. Vol. 9. P. 29–36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostr.2018.06.007>.
128. Peng Y., Chen J., Dong J. Experimental data assessment and fatigue design recommendation for stainless-steel welded joints. *Metals*. 2019. Vol. 9, No. 7. Article 723. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/met9070723>.
129. Holmes J. D. *Wind Loading of Structures*. London ; New York : Spon Press, 2001. 356 p.
130. Bęc J. Influence of wind spectrum formula choice on footbridge response. *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Computational Wind Engineering (CWE2010)*. Chapel Hill, NC, USA, 23–27 May 2010. Chapel Hill, NC, 2010. 8 p.
131. Burton T., Jenkins N., Sharpe D., Bossanyi E. *Wind Energy Handbook*. 3rd ed. Chichester : Wiley, 2021. 1024 p.
132. He X., Qu M., Shi X. Damping Properties of Ethylene-Vinyl Acetate Rubber / Polylactic Acid Blends. *Journal of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering*. 2016. Vol. 4, No. 3. P. 15–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4236/msce.2016.43003>.
133. Harris C. R., Millman K. J., van der Walt S. J., Gommers R., Virtanen P., Cournapeau D. et al. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*. 2020. Vol. 585, No. 7825. P. 357–362. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>.
134. Virtanen P., Gommers R., Oliphant T. E., Haberland M., Reddy T., Cournapeau D. et al. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods*. 2020. Vol. 17, No. 3. P. 261–272. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>.
135. Matokhnyuk L. E., Byalonovych A. V., Kotlyarenko A. A., Gopkalo O. E. Influence of Loading Frequency on Fatigue Resistance of D16T Alloy. *Strength of Materials*. 2022. Vol. 54, No. 1. P. 407–414. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11223-022-00416-0>.

136. Hensman J., Fusi N., Lawrence N. D. Gaussian Processes for Big Data. Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI 2013). Bellevue, WA, 11–15 July 2013. AUAI Press, 2013. P. 282–290. arXiv:1309.6835. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.6835>.

137. TensorFlow Developers. TensorFlow [електронний ресурс] : бібліотека з відкритим кодом для машинного навчання. Zenodo, 2023. Концептуальний DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4724125>.

138. Matthews A. G. de G., van der Wilk M., Nickson T., Fujii K., Boukouvalas A., León-Villagrà P., Ghahramani Z., Hensman J. GPflow: A Gaussian process library using TensorFlow. Journal of Machine Learning Research. 2017. Vol. 18, No. 40. P. 1–6. arXiv:1610.08733. URL: <http://jmlr.org/papers/v18/16-537.html>.

ДОДАТОК А

СПИСОК ПУБЛІКАЦІЙ ЗДОБУВАЧА ЗА ТЕМОЮ ДИСЕРТАЦІЇ

Наукові праці, в яких опубліковано основні наукові результати:

1. Бабуджан Р., Ісаєнков К., Красій Д., Водка О., Задорожний І., Ющук М. Використання методів машинного навчання для бінарної класифікації робочого стану підшипників за сигналами їх віброприскорення. Вісник Національного технічного університету «ХПІ». Серія: Системний аналіз, управління та інформаційні технології. 2021. № 2 (6). С. 15–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2079-0023.2021.02.03>. (Б).
2. Бабуджан Р. А., Ісаєнков К. О., Водка О. О., Красій Д. М., Задорожний І., Ющук М. Класифікація робочого стану підшипників кочення за допомогою згорткової нейронної мережі зі змінними факторами дилатації. Вісник НТУ «ХПІ». Серія: Динаміка і міцність машин. 2021. № 2. С. 104–109. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2078-9130.2021.2.249274>. (Б).
3. Красій Д. М., Ларін О. О. Фізично-керована регресія на основі гаусівських процесів для моделювання накопичення втомного пошкодження. Вісник НТУ «ХПІ». Серія: Динаміка і міцність машин. 2025. № 2. С. 64–72. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20998/2078-9130.2025.2.345722>. (Б).
4. Krasii D. M., Larin O. O. Physics-guided chained Gaussian process regression for atherosclerotic plaque progression prediction. Scientific Bulletin of Uzhhorod University. Series of Mathematics and Informatics. 2026. Vol 48, № 1. P. 153–161. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.24144/2616-7700.2026.48\(1\).153-161](https://doi.org/10.24144/2616-7700.2026.48(1).153-161). (Б).

Опубліковані праці апробаційного характеру:

5. Krasii D., Larin O. Computational modelling of wind turbine lifetime. 2021 IEEE 2nd KhPI Week on Advanced Technology (KhPIWeek). Kharkiv, 13–17 September 2021. IEEE, 2021. P. 374–379. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/KhPIWeek53812.2021.9570028>. (Scopus, Q4).
6. Krasii D., Larin O. Application of Markov Processes Theory for Computational Prediction of Turbine Blade Reliability. Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering — 2022. Cham : Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023. P. 335–345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36201-9_29. (Scopus, Q4).
7. Krasii D., Larin O. ML-surrogate modeling for the estimation of random system performance parameter progress by the Chained Gaussian Process Regression method. 2023 IEEE 4th KhPI Week on Advanced Technology (KhPIWeek). Kharkiv, 2–6 October 2023. IEEE, 2023. P. 1–5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/KhPIWeek61412.2023.10312806>. (Scopus, Q4).
8. Krasii D., Larin O., Gorovyi I. Analysis of Optical Coherence Tomography Images of Human Arteriosclerotic Vessels Using Computer Vision and Deep Learning Algorithms. Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering — 2024 / O. Lytvynov, V. Pavlikov, D. Krytskyi (eds.). Cham : Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025. P. 107–117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-94845-9_9. (Scopus, Q4).
9. Babudzhan R., Isaienkov K., Krasii D., Vodka O., Zadorozhny I., Yushchuk M. Collection and processing of bearing vibration data for their technical condition classification by machine learning methods. Bulletin of the National Technical University «KhPI». Series: Modeling, Control and Information Technologies. 2021. No. 5. P. 10–15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31713/MCIT.2021.02>.