

12. Akhundov, R. et al. (2026). Methodological limitations of normative design of physical protection systems for critical and military facilities in a dynamic threat environment // International scientific journal «Grail of Science». 2026. № 62. С. 873–889.

13. Akhundov, R. et al. (2026). Conceptual models of multi-level physical protection systems for special-purpose and critical infrastructure facilities. Grail of Science, (61), 591–608. <https://doi.org/10.36074/grail-of-science.23.01.2026.066>

14. Marko Radovanovich, et al. Application of the new hybrid model LMAW-GEDAS multi-criteria decision-making when choosing an assault rifle for the needs of the army. Journal of Decision Analytics and Intelligent Computing, -2024. Vol. 4 issue 1. p.16-31.

15. Radovanovic M. et al. Unleashing autonomous forces: Integrating AI-driven drones in modern military strategy // Системи управління, навігації та зв'язку. Збірник наукових праць. – 2024. – Т. 3. – №. 77. – С. 55-69.

DIGITAL TWINS OF WEAPONS AND MILITARY EQUIPMENT FOR FAILURE PREDICTION AND SUSTAINMENT OPTIMIZATION

Amirov F.G., Ibrahimov B.G., Hashimov E.G.
Azerbaijan Technical University, Baku, Azerbaijan
Akhundov R.G.,
National Defense University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Introduction

The increasing technical complexity of modern weapons and military equipment has made lifecycle support, readiness management, and predictive sustainment central issues of defense capability. Recent U.S. defense and Army materials treat digital twins as a practical instrument for predictive maintenance, efficient repair operations, and lifecycle management rather than as a purely experimental concept. The Defense Business Board's 2024 report on the digital ecosystem explicitly states that digital twin systems should use detailed information from product lifecycle management and design systems to support predictive maintenance and enhanced AI/ML capabilities, while Army digital engineering assessments likewise connect digital twins with predictive maintenance and efficient repair operations for maintainers and sustainers. The objective of this study is to determine how digital twins can improve failure prediction, maintenance planning, and overall operational readiness of military systems.

Methodology

The research is based on a systems approach, structural-functional analysis, and scenario-based modeling of the sustainment cycle for weapons and military platforms. A digital twin is interpreted as a dynamically updated digital representation of a physical system that combines design data, operational parameters, maintenance history, and sensor-derived condition information. The analytical framework includes four interconnected functions: state monitoring, degradation assessment, failure prediction, and maintenance decision support. The study compares traditional scheduled maintenance with condition-informed and model-supported sustainment. The working hypothesis states that failure prediction

and sustainment efficiency improve when a digital twin integrates engineering data, operational observations, and lifecycle feedback into a single decision environment. This interpretation is consistent with current defense guidance that treats digital twins as part of a broader digital engineering and lifecycle ecosystem.

The first argument of the study is that the principal value of a military digital twin lies in its ability to connect engineering design with operational reality. In conventional support systems, maintenance decisions are often based on fixed intervals, generic assumptions, or fragmented technical records. Such an approach does not fully account for mission intensity, environmental stress, subsystem interaction, or cumulative degradation. By contrast, current U.S. defense materials describe the digital twin as being integrated into requirements, development, manufacture, engineering, test, and lifecycle management, and after fielding reflecting actual product performance. This means that the twin can function as a continuously refined representation of the system rather than as a static engineering model.

The second argument is that digital twins create the analytical basis for predictive rather than reactive sustainment. Army and AMCOM materials explicitly connect digital twins with predictive maintenance for aircraft and with improved readiness. In operational terms, this means that maintenance can be triggered not only by elapsed time or visible failure, but also by model-based indications of abnormal wear, performance drift, or elevated risk of subsystem malfunction. Such an approach is especially relevant for aircraft, armored vehicles, missile systems, and other platforms whose mission availability depends on early recognition of degradation patterns that may not yet have produced overt failure. The transition from scheduled intervention to prediction-supported intervention allows maintenance resources to be concentrated where they produce the greatest readiness effect.

The third argument is that the military usefulness of digital twins depends on data integration quality. A twin cannot support reliable prediction if it is disconnected from authoritative engineering baselines, incomplete sensor streams, repair records, or actual operating conditions. The Defense Business Board emphasizes a joint product lifecycle management environment as an authoritative source of truth, while NATO technical work on digital twin interoperability highlights the importance of connected digital representations and interoperability across defense systems. Therefore, the twin should be understood not merely as a model, but as a data-governed operational architecture. Without consistent digital thread continuity, prediction accuracy degrades and the twin risks becoming only a visualization tool rather than a sustainment instrument.

The fourth argument concerns decision support. A military digital twin has value not only when it detects degradation, but when it supports action. If the model can estimate probable failure windows, compare alternative maintenance timings, or identify components whose degradation most strongly affects mission readiness, commanders and sustainment personnel gain a more rational basis for intervention. Army digital engineering assessments specifically tie digital models to repair efficiency and sustainment planning, while broader defense digital ecosystem materials associate digital twins with AI-enabled lifecycle optimization. In this sense, the twin becomes a decision-

support layer connecting engineering insight with logistics and readiness management. At the same time, the study identifies important limitations. Prediction quality depends on sensor coverage, model fidelity, data governance, cybersecurity, and interoperability between technical and sustainment systems. NATO publications on digital twin interoperability and defense modeling indicate that interoperability remains a practical challenge, and defense budget materials show that digital twin applications are also being explored for operational technology cybersecurity contexts. This implies that a poorly integrated or poorly protected twin may introduce new vulnerabilities even while promising better maintenance efficiency. For military use, digital twins must therefore be tested not only for engineering accuracy, but also for resilience, security, and usability in real sustainment workflows.

Results and Conclusions

The study supports the hypothesis that digital twins can significantly improve failure prediction and sustainment optimization for weapons and military equipment when they function as integrated lifecycle representations rather than isolated simulation models. Their practical value lies in linking design knowledge, real operational data, and maintenance history into a single analytical environment capable of supporting condition assessment, degradation forecasting, and maintenance prioritization. The main conclusion is that digital twins should be treated as a strategic sustainment capability for modern defense systems. Their effectiveness is highest when they are embedded in a broader digital engineering architecture with authoritative data, predictive analytics, secure interoperability, and direct connection to readiness management. The practical significance of the study lies in its applicability to aircraft, armored platforms, missile systems, naval assets, and other military equipment for which readiness, maintenance timing, and lifecycle cost are critical performance factors.

References

1. Krikun, V. L. et al. Accelerating decision making and operational efficiency through C4ISR architecture. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7..p.120-121
2. Piriyeu, H. et al. Optimizing intelligence, surveillance, and assessment in urban environments. *Матеріали конференцій МЦНД*, (07.11. 2025; Дніпро, Україна), 246-256.
3. Barbashin, V. K. et al. Enhancement of operational readiness via predictive maintenance and network optimization. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 1., section 1,2. p. 72-74
4. Rustamov, A. et al. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence in the command and control system of naval forces. *Collection of scientific papers «SCIENTIA»*, (November 7, 2025; Strasbourg, France), 103-111.
5. Valehov, S. A. et al. AI-based efficiency model in university governance. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 1., section 1,2. p.26-28.
6. Dergachov, K. et al. AI based physical and cyber defense for energy grids and transportation systems. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 1., section 1,2. p. 75-78
7. Balayev F.R, et al. Methodology and applications of AI enabled image recognition in UAVs *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 1., section 1,2. p.102-103
8. Hashimov, E. et al. Research of the efficiency multiservice networks using MIMO technology. *Advanced Information Systems*. 2026. 10(1), 66-71.

9. Kovalev V.A. et al. AI assisted control model for strike UAV swarms *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 1., section 1,2. p.108-109
10. Huseynov, M. et al. Integrated application of remote sensing in defense and environmental oversight. *In Problems of Informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2, p. 114-115.
11. Kartashov, O. S. et al. (2025). Hybrid acoustic seismic approach for the identification of moving targets. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7..p.118-119
12. Muradov, S. A. et al. (2025). System-level methods for enhancing UAV flight safety and resource efficiency. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7..p.124-126
13. Javadov, J. M. et al. (2025). Integrating UAV imagery with GIS-based spatial analytics to forecast border risks. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7..p.116-117
14. Talibov, A. A. et al. (2025). Game theory enabled multi-level logistics planning. *In Problems of Informatization*. 2025. (Vol. 4, sections 5 and 6, pp. 105–107).
15. Huseynov, B. S. et al. Multisensor detection architectures on UAV platforms: methodology, indicators and implementation in Azerbaijan. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7..p.132-134
16. Bakhshali, V. I. et al. Hybrid energy systems in Azerbaijan: Resilience metrics and spatial constraints. *In Problems of Informatization: Proceedings of the 13th International Scientific and Technical Conference*. 2025. (Vol. 4, pp. 94-96).
17. Mashaev E.T. et al. System of systems at war: UAV enabled sensing and strike integration. *In Problems of informatization*. 2025. Vol. 2., section 3,7. p.112-113
18. Petrovski, A. A. et al. A multi criteria AHP framework for hybrid renewable supply in suburban contexts. *In Problems of Informatization: Proceedings of the 13th International Scientific and Technical Conference*. 2025. (Vol. 4, pp. 97-100).
19. Gasimov V. et al. Evaluation of alternative solutions for the effective structure of the cyber security system in critical information infrastructures by the hierarchical analysis method. *Advanced Information Systems*. 2026, Vol.10, No.2. p.87-99. <https://doi.org/10.20998/2522-9052.2026.2.10>
20. Radovanovic M. et al. Unleashing autonomous forces: Integrating AI-driven drones in modern military strategy // Системи управління, навігації та зв'язку. Збірник наукових праць. – 2024. – Т. 3. – №. 77. – С. 55-69
21. Rustamov, A. R. et al. A systems approach to urban operations for the protection of critical infrastructure and the management of civilian risks. *In Problems of Informatization*. 2025. Vol. 4, pp. 80-82.
22. Sakov, A. A. et al. Policy-oriented technology selection for environmental security assurance. *In Problems of Informatization*. 2025. Vol. 4, pp. 52-54.
23. Muradova E.E., et al. Analytical evaluation of UAV-based logistical operations in contemporary military systems // Актуальні питання розвитку галузей науки: збірник наукових праць з матеріалами VІМіжнародної наукової конференції. 2025. p.351-360
24. Elshan, H. et al. (2026). Constrained optimization of an integral security indicator for adaptive management of hazardous facilities. *Grail of Science*, (62), 1003–1014. <https://doi.org/10.36074/grail-of-science.20.02.2026.109>
25. Akhundov, R. et al. (2026). Methodological limitations of normative design of physical protection systems for critical and military facilities in a dynamic threat environment // *International scientific journal «Grail of Science»*. 2026. № 62. С. 873–889.
26. Akhundov, R. et al. (2026). Conceptual models of multi-level physical protection systems for special-purpose and critical infrastructure facilities. *Grail of Science*, (61), 591–608. <https://doi.org/10.36074/grail-of-science.23.01.2026.066>